

Section - 7

Homogeneous eqn of order n

$$\text{Let } L[y] = y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + a_2 y^{(n-2)} + \dots + a_n y^{(1)}$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are constant

Let us solve $L[y] = 0$

Now,

$$L[e^{rx}] = r^n e^{rx} + a_1 r^{n-1} e^{rx} + a_2 r^{n-2} e^{rx} + \dots + a_n r e^{rx}$$

$$= P(r) e^{rx} \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

where

$$P(r) = r^n + a_1 r^{n-1} + a_2 r^{n-2} + \dots + a_n$$

Here $P(r)$ is called the characteristic polynomial of L .

Theorem: II

Let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s be the distinct roots of the characteristic polynomial P and suppose r_i has a multiplicity m_i

(Thus $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_s = n$) Then the n functions

$$\begin{matrix} e^{r_1 x}, x e^{r_1 x}, \dots, x^{m_1-1} e^{r_1 x} \\ e^{r_2 x}, x e^{r_2 x}, \dots, x^{m_2-1} e^{r_2 x} \\ \vdots \\ e^{r_s x}, x e^{r_s x}, \dots, x^{m_s-1} e^{r_s x} \end{matrix} \text{ are soln of } L[y] = 0$$

Proof:

r_i is a roots of multiplicity m_i of P Then

$$P(r_i) = 0, P'(r_i) = 0, \dots, P^{(m_i-1)}(r_i) = 0$$

Differentiable the eqn $L(e^{rx}) = P(r) e^{rx}$ k times w.r.t r

we get,

$$\frac{\delta^k L[e^{rx}]}{\delta r^k} = L \left[\frac{\delta^k e^{rx}}{\delta r^k} \right]$$

$$= L[x^k e^{rx}]$$

$$= P^k(r) + k P^{(k-1)}(r) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2!} P^{(k-2)}(r) x^2 + \dots + P(r) x^k] e^{rx}$$

If f, g are two functions having k derivatives

Then $(fg)^k = f^{(k)}g + k f^{(k-1)}g' + \frac{k(k-1)}{2!} f^{(k-2)}g'' + \dots + f g^{(k)}$

Thus for $k=0, 1, \dots, m-1$

we see that $x^k, e^{r_i x}$ is a soln of $LY=0$

Repeatly this process for each root of p

we get the result.

Definition

The n functional $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ on an interval I are said to be linearly dependent on I if there are constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n not all zero such that,

$c_1 \phi_1(x) + c_2 \phi_2(x) + \dots + c_n \phi_n(x) = 0$ for all the

functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ are said to be linearly independent on I . If they are not linearly dependent on I .

Theorem: 12

Then n solns of $LY=0$, g_n is previous Theorem are linearly independent on any interval I .

Proof:

Suppose we have n constants c_{ij} ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) ($j=0, 1, \dots, m_i$) such that,

$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} c_{ij} x^j e^{r_i x} = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$

Keeping i fixed and summing over j we take

$P_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} c_{ij} x^j$

be the polynomial coefficient

of $e^{r_i x}$ in $\textcircled{1}$

Thus we have

$$P_1(x)e^{r_1x} + P_2(x)e^{r_2x} + \dots + P_k(x)e^{r_kx} = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{2} \text{ on } I.$$

Suppose that not all the constants c_{ij} are 0 then there will be at least one of the polynomials P_i which is not identically zero on I .

The roots r_i ; if necessary we can assume that P_1 is not identically zero on I .

from $\textcircled{2}$ we have,

$$P_1(x) + P_2(x)e^{(r_2-r_1)x} + P_3(x)e^{(r_3-r_1)x} + \dots + P_k(x)e^{(r_k-r_1)x} = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

Diff $\textcircled{3}$ sufficiently many times (at most m_i times) we can reduce $P_1(x)$ to 0 multiplying $e^{(r_2-r_1)x}$ remains unchanged as well as the non-identically vanishing character of any of these polynomial.

We obtain an expression of the form,

$$Q_2(x)e^{(r_2-r_1)x} + Q_3(x)e^{(r_3-r_1)x} = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{4}$$

(or)

$$Q_2(x)e^{r_2x} + \dots + Q_k(x)e^{r_kx} = 0 \text{ on } I$$

where the Q_i are polynomial

$$\deg Q_i = \deg P_i$$

and Q_i does not vanish identically.

Continuing this process we finally situate

$$\text{where } R_2(x)e^{r_2x} = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{5} \text{ on } I$$

and R_2 is a polynomial

$$\deg R_2 = \deg P_2$$

which does not vanish identically on I .

But from ⑤ we get,

$$P_2(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$$

This contradicts the assumption that

P_2 is not identically zero on I .

Thus $P_1(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$ and hence all the constants $c_{ij} = 0$ are linearly independent on the n sols on any interval I .

Remark:

If $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ are any n sols of $L[y] = 0$ on an interval I and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are any n constants then,

$$\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2 + \dots + c_n \varphi_n \text{ is also a soln.}$$

$$\text{Since } L[\varphi] = c_1 L[\varphi_1] + \dots + c_n L[\varphi_n] = 0$$

As in the $n=2$ every soln of $L[y] = 0$ is a linear combination of n linearly independent soln.

Defn:

The wronskian $w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)$ of n functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ having $(n-1)$ derivatives on an interval I is defined to be the determinant function.

$$w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 & \dots & \varphi_n \\ \varphi_1' & \varphi_2' & \dots & \varphi_n' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_1^{(n-1)} & \varphi_2^{(n-1)} & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

Its value at any x in I being $w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)$

Theorem 12.11

If y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are n soln of $L(y) = 0$ on an interval I , they are linearly independent on I iff $w(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \neq 0$ for all x in I

Proof:

Let us suppose $w(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$ and

let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be constants $\neq 0$

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) + \dots + c_n y_n(x) = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1} \quad \forall x \in I$$

also by differentiating $\textcircled{1}$ w.r.t. x

$$c_1 y_1'(x) + c_2 y_2'(x) + \dots + c_n y_n'(x) = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{2} \quad \forall x \in I$$

for fixed x the eqn $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ are linearly homogeneous equations satisfied by c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n

The determinant of the coefficients is $w(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)(x)$ which is not zero.

$c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$ is only soln of $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$

y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are linearly independent on I .

Now let

$$w(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)(x_0) = 0$$

Take the equations

$$c_1 y_1(x_0) + c_2 y_2(x_0) + \dots + c_n y_n(x_0) = 0$$

$$c_1 y_1'(x_0) + c_2 y_2'(x_0) + \dots + c_n y_n'(x_0) = 0$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are constants

These are linearly homogeneous equations

where the determinant of the constant

$$w(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)(x_0) = 0$$

Atleast one of the constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n is not zero

for the constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n

We have.

$$c_1 \varphi_1(x) + c_2 \varphi_2(x) + \dots + c_n \varphi_n(x) = 0$$

one of c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n is not zero $\forall x \in I$.

Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be a soln. consider the function.

$$y = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2 + \dots + c_n \varphi_n$$

$$\text{New } L[y] = 0$$

$$y'(x_0) = 0, y(x_0) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ are linearly independent on I .

This contradicts the statement of the theorem.

$$\therefore W(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in I$$

Hence proved

Section-8

Initial value problems for n^{th} order equations.

Consider the equation.

$$L[y] = y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_n y = 0$$

An IVP for $L[y] = 0$ is a problem of finding a soln φ which satisfy the conditional

$$\varphi(x_0) = \alpha_1, \varphi'(x_0) = \alpha_2, \dots, \varphi^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are constants x_0 is some real numbers
is initial point.

Note:

The soln φ has prescribed values for it and its 1^{st} $(n-1)$ derivatives at some point x_0

It is denoted by

$$L[y] = y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n y = 0$$

$$y(x_0) = \alpha_1, y'(x_0) = \alpha_2, \dots, y^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n \text{ on } I$$

Defn:

Let $\varphi(x)$ be any soln of $L[y]=0$ Then we define $\|\varphi(x)\|$ by,

$$\|\varphi(x)\| = [|\varphi(x)|^2 + |\varphi'(x)|^2 + \dots + |\varphi^{(n-1)}(x)|^2]^{1/2}$$

It is the length of L and the positive square root is taken

Theorem: 13

Let φ be any soln of

$$L[y] = y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_n y = 0 \text{ on an interval } I$$

containing a point x_0 , then for all x in I

$$\|\varphi(x_0)\| e^{-k|x-x_0|} \leq \|\varphi(x)\| \leq \|\varphi(x_0)\| e^{k|x-x_0|}$$

where $k = 1 + |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } u(x) &= \|\varphi(x)\|^2 \\ &= |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\varphi'(x)|^2 + \dots + |\varphi^{(n-1)}(x)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow u = \varphi \cdot \bar{\varphi} + \varphi' \cdot \bar{\varphi}' + \dots + \varphi^{(n-1)} \cdot \bar{\varphi}^{(n-1)}$$

Diff w.r.t x we get,

$$u' = \varphi' \cdot \bar{\varphi} + \varphi \cdot \bar{\varphi}' + \varphi'' \cdot \bar{\varphi}'' + \varphi' \cdot \bar{\varphi}'' + \dots + \varphi^{(n)} \cdot \bar{\varphi}^{(n)} + \varphi^{(n-1)} \cdot \bar{\varphi}^{(n-1)}$$

$$\text{(i) } |u'| \leq |\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}| + |\varphi| |\bar{\varphi}'| + |\varphi''| |\bar{\varphi}''| + |\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}''| + \dots + |\varphi^{(n)}| |\bar{\varphi}^{(n)}| + |\varphi^{(n-1)}| |\bar{\varphi}^{(n-1)}|$$

$$|u'| \leq 2|\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}| + 2|\varphi| |\bar{\varphi}'| + \dots + 2|\varphi^{(n-1)}| |\bar{\varphi}^{(n-1)}| \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

since φ satisfies $L[y]=0$ we have

$$\varphi^{(n)} = -[a_1 \varphi^{(n-1)} + a_2 \varphi^{(n-2)} + \dots + a_n \varphi]$$

$$|\varphi^{(n)}| \leq |a_1| |\varphi^{(n-1)}| + |a_2| |\varphi^{(n-2)}| + \dots + |a_n| |\varphi| \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

sub $\textcircled{2}$ in $\textcircled{1}$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |u'| &\leq 2|\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}| + 2|\varphi| |\bar{\varphi}'| + \dots + 2|\varphi^{(n-1)}| [|a_1| |\varphi^{(n-1)}| + |a_2| |\varphi^{(n-2)}| + \dots + |a_n| |\varphi|] \\ &\leq 2|\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}| + 2|\varphi| |\bar{\varphi}'| + \dots + 2|a_1| |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2 + 2|a_2| |\varphi^{(n-1)}| |\varphi^{(n-2)}| + \dots \end{aligned}$$

using the inequality

(10)

$$2|b||c| \leq |b|^2 + |c|^2$$

we get,

$$|u'| \leq [|\varphi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2] + [|\varphi'|^2 + |\varphi''|^2] + \dots + 2|a_1| |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2 + 2|a_2| |\varphi^{(n-1)}| |\varphi^{(n-2)}| + \dots + 2|a_n| |\varphi| |\varphi^{(n-1)}|$$

$$= [|\varphi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2] + [|\varphi'|^2 + |\varphi''|^2] + \dots + 2|a_1| |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2 + |a_2| [|\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2 + |\varphi^{(n-2)}|^2] + \dots + |a_n| [|\varphi|^2 + |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2]$$

$$= (1 + |a_n|) |\varphi|^2 + (2 + |a_{n-1}|) |\varphi'|^2 + \dots + (2 + |a_2|) |\varphi^{(n-2)}|^2 + (1 + 2|a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|) |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2$$

$$|u'| \leq 2(1 + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|) |\varphi|^2 + 2(1 + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|) |\varphi'|^2 + \dots + 2(1 + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|) |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2$$

$$\leq 2K |\varphi|^2 + 2K |\varphi'|^2 + \dots + 2K |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2$$

$$\leq 2K [|\varphi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2 + \dots + |\varphi^{(n-1)}|^2]$$

$$|u'| \leq 2Ku$$

$$\Rightarrow -2Ku \leq u' \leq 2Ku \quad \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

Consider the right inequality which can be written as,

$$u' - 2Ku \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-2Kx} (u' - 2Ku) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (e^{-2Kx} u)' \leq 0$$

If $x > x_0$ we integrate from x_0 to x and get

$$e^{-2Kx} u(x) - e^{-2Kx_0} u(x_0) \leq 0$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad e^{-2Kx} u(x) \leq e^{-2Kx_0} u(x_0)$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad u(x) \leq u(x_0) e^{2K(x-x_0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|u(x)\| \leq \|u(x_0)\| e^{K|x-x_0|}, \quad x > x_0$$

Similarly the left inequality

$$\| \varphi(x_0) \| e^{-k|x-x_0|} \leq \| \varphi(x) \| \quad \text{if } x < x_0$$

Considering ③ for $x < x_0$ together with integration from x to x_0 gives

$$\| \varphi(x_0) \| e^{k(x-x_0)} \leq \| \varphi(x) \| \leq \| \varphi(x_0) \| e^{-k(x-x_0)} \quad \text{for } x > x_0$$

↳ ④

Combining ④ and ⑤ we get,

$$\| \varphi(x_0) \| e^{-k|x-x_0|} \leq \| \varphi(x) \| \leq \| \varphi(x_0) \| e^{k|x-x_0|}$$

Hence The Theorem.

Theorem: 14 Uniqueness Theorem:

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be any n constants and let x_0 be any real number on any interval I containing x_0 . If at most one soln φ of $L[y] = 0$ satisfying $\varphi(x_0) = \alpha_1, \varphi'(x_0) = \alpha_2, \dots, \varphi^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n$.

Proof:

Suppose φ, ψ are two solns of $L[y] = 0$ on I satisfying the above Theorem conditions at x_0 . Then

$$\theta = \varphi - \psi \quad \text{satisfy} \quad L[\theta] = 0$$

$$\text{and} \quad \theta(x_0) = \theta'(x_0) = \dots = \theta^{(n-1)}(x_0) = 0$$

$$\text{Thus} \quad \| \theta(x_0) \| = 0$$

from the inequality of the above Theorem,

$$\| \theta(x_0) \| e^{-k|x-x_0|} \leq \| \theta(x) \| \leq \| \theta(x_0) \| e^{k|x-x_0|}$$

$$\text{we get} \quad \| \theta(x_0) \| = 0 \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

$$\text{(e)} \quad \theta(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi = \psi$$

Theorem : 15 Existence Theorem

(11)

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be any n constants and let x_0 be any real number, Then there exists a soln ϕ of $L[y] = 0$ on $-\infty < x < \infty$ satisfying

$$\phi(x_0) = \alpha_1, \phi'(x_0) = \alpha_2, \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Proof:

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ be any set of n linearly independent soln of $L[y] = 0$ on $-\infty < x < \infty$

It will be such that \exists unique constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n such that,

$$\phi = c_1 \phi_1 + c_2 \phi_2 + \dots + c_n \phi_n \text{ is a soln of } L[y] = 0$$

satisfying the condition given by $\textcircled{1}$

these constants would have to satisfy

$$c_1 \phi_1(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2(x_0) + \dots + c_n \phi_n(x_0) = \alpha_1$$

$$c_1 \phi_1'(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2'(x_0) + \dots + c_n \phi_n'(x_0) = \alpha_2$$

\vdots

$$c_1 \phi_1^{(n-1)}(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2^{(n-1)}(x_0) + \dots + c_n \phi_n^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n$$

This is a system of n linear eqns for c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n

The determinant of the coefficient is just

$$\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(x_0) \text{ which is not zero.}$$

\therefore There is a unique set of constant c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n satisfying

for this choice c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n the function

$$\phi = c_1 \phi_1 + c_2 \phi_2 + \dots + c_n \phi_n$$

Gives the desired soln.

Theorem: 16

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ be n linearly independent soln of $L[y]=0$ on an interval I . If c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are any constants then $\phi = c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2 + \dots + c_n\phi_n$ is a soln and every soln may be represented in this form.

Proof:

We know that

$$L[\phi] = c_1 L[\phi_1] + c_2 L[\phi_2] + \dots + c_n L[\phi_n] = 0$$

Let ψ be any soln of $L[y]=0$ and let x_0 be in I

$$\text{Suppose } \psi(x_0) = \alpha_1, \psi'(x_0) = \alpha_2, \dots, \psi^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n$$

By Existence Theorem \exists unique constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n

such that,

$$\psi = c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2 + \dots + c_n\phi_n \text{ is a soln of } L[y]=0, \text{ on } I,$$

Satisfying,

$$\psi(x_0) = \alpha_1, \psi'(x_0) = \alpha_2, \dots, \psi^{(n-1)}(x_0) = \alpha_n$$

By uniqueness Theorem $\phi = \psi$

$$\text{Hence } \phi = c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2 + \dots + c_n\phi_n.$$

Theorem: 17

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ be n soln of $L[y]=0$ on an interval I containing x_0 . Then

$$w(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(x) = e^{-\int a_1(x-x_0) dx} w(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(x_0)$$

Proof:

Section - 9

The Non homogeneous eqn of order n

Let us consider the non homogeneous eqn of order n

$$L[y] = y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + a_2 y^{(n-2)} + \dots + a_n y = b(x)$$

where b is a continuous fun of x on interval I and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are constant.

Theorem: 18

Let b be continuous on an interval I and let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ be n linearly independent soln of $L[y] = 0$ on I . Every soln ψ of $L[y] = b(x)$ can be written as $\psi = \psi_p + C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2 + \dots + C_n \phi_n$

where ψ_p is a particular soln of $L[y] = b(x)$. A particular soln ψ_p is given by

$$\psi_p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(x) \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\omega_k(t) b(t)}{\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(t)} dt$$

Proof:

If ψ_p is a particular soln of $L[y] = b(x)$ and ψ is another soln then

$$L[\psi - \psi_p] = L[\psi] - L[\psi_p] = 0$$

Thus $\psi - \psi_p$ is a soln of homogeneous eqn $L[y] = 0$

$$\therefore \psi = \psi_p + C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2 + \dots + C_n \phi_n$$

where ψ_p is a particular soln of $L[y] = b(x)$

The function $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ are n l.i. soln of $L[y] = 0$ and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n are constant.

To find particular soln ψ_p :

we proceed as in the case $n=1$

(i) By variation of constant method

(ii) we have to find n functions u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n such that

$$\psi_p = u_1 \phi_1 + u_2 \phi_2 + \dots + u_n \phi_n \text{ is a soln.}$$

diff w.r.t x

$$\psi_p' = (u_1' \phi_1 + u_2' \phi_2 + \dots + u_n' \phi_n) + (u_1 \phi_1' + u_2 \phi_2' + \dots + u_n \phi_n')$$

We choose,

$$u_1' \phi_1 + u_2' \phi_2 + \dots + u_n' \phi_n = 0$$

Then $\psi_p' = u_1 \phi_1' + u_2 \phi_2' + \dots + u_n \phi_n'$

Now, $\psi_p'' = u_1 \phi_1'' + u_1' \phi_1' + u_2 \phi_2'' + u_2' \phi_2' + \dots + u_n \phi_n'' + u_n' \phi_n'$
 $= (u_1 \phi_1'' + u_2 \phi_2'' + \dots + u_n \phi_n'') + (u_1' \phi_1' + u_2' \phi_2' + \dots + u_n' \phi_n')$

choose $u_1' \phi_1' + u_2' \phi_2' + \dots + u_n' \phi_n' = 0$

Then $\psi_p'' = u_1 \phi_1'' + u_2 \phi_2'' + \dots + u_n \phi_n''$

proceeding in this way we get u_1', u_2', \dots, u_n' satisfy

$$u_1' \phi_1 + u_2' \phi_2 + \dots + u_n' \phi_n = 0$$

$$u_1' \phi_1' + u_2' \phi_2' + \dots + u_n' \phi_n' = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$u_1' \phi_1^{(n-1)} + u_2' \phi_2^{(n-1)} + \dots + u_n' \phi_n^{(n-1)} = 0$$

→ 0

with $\psi_p = u_1 \phi_1 + u_2 \phi_2 + \dots + u_n \phi_n$

$$\psi_p' = u_1 \phi_1' + u_2 \phi_2' + \dots + u_n \phi_n'$$

$$\psi_p'' = u_1 \phi_1'' + u_2 \phi_2'' + \dots + u_n \phi_n''$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\psi_p^{(n-1)} = u_1 \phi_1^{(n-1)} + u_2 \phi_2^{(n-1)} + \dots + u_n \phi_n^{(n-1)}$$

and $\psi_p^{(n)} = u_1 \phi_1^{(n)} + u_2 \phi_2^{(n)} + \dots + u_n \phi_n^{(n)}$

Hence $L(\psi_p) = u_1 L(\phi_1) + u_2 L(\phi_2) + \dots + u_n L(\phi_n) = b$

(i) $L(\psi_p) = b$

[∴ $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ are solns of $L(y) = 0$]

and ψ_p is the soln of $L(y) = b(x)$

The whole problem now reduces as to solve the linear system (1) for u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n

The determinant of the coefficient is $w(x, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ which is never zero, when $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ are linearly independent. Solns of (1) are

Hence there exist unique function satisfying (1) the solns are given by

$$u_k(x) = \frac{w_k(x) b(x)}{w(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(x)} \quad k=1, 2, \dots, n$$

where w_k is the determinant obtained from $w(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)$ by replacing k^{th} column

$$b) \phi_k, \phi_k', \dots, \phi_k^{(n-1)} \quad \text{by } (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

If x_0 is any point in I , we can take for u_k the function given by

$$u_k(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{w_k(t) b(t)}{w(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(t)} dt \quad k=1, 2, \dots, n$$

The particular soln y_p becomes

$$y_p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(x) \int_{x_0}^x \frac{w_k(t) b(t)}{w(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)(t)} dt$$

Hence the theorem

problems.

1. a) Find the solns of the following eqns $y'' + y = 0$

Soln

Given eqn is $y'' + y = 0$

The characteristic polynomial is

$$r^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$r = \pm i$$

The soln y has a form

$$y(x) = C_1 \cos x + C_2 \sin x$$

7.b) $y'' - y = 0$

(12)

Soln:

Given eqn is $y'' - y = 0$

The characteristic polynomial is

$$r^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$r^2 - 1^2 = 0$$

$$(r^2 + 1)(r^2 - 1) = 0$$

$$r^2 + 1 = 0, \quad r^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$r^2 = -1, \quad r^2 = 1$$

$$r = \pm i, \quad r = \pm 1$$

The soln ϕ has the form.

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{-x} + e^{0x} (c_3 \cos x + c_4 \sin x)$$

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{-x} + c_3 \cos x + c_4 \sin x$$

7.c) $y'' - 5y' + 4y = 0$

Soln:

The characteristic polynomial is

$$r^2 - 5r + 4 = 0$$

$$r = -1, \quad r^2 - r^2 - 4r + 4 = 0$$

$$r = 1, \quad r^2 - 4 = 0$$

$$r^2 = 4$$

$$r = \pm 2$$

∴ The roots are $r = -1, 1, 2, -2$

∴ The soln is

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{-x} + c_3 e^{2x} + c_4 e^{-2x}$$

1	0	-5	0	4
0	-1	1	4	-4
1	-1	-4	4	0
1	-1	-4	4	0
0	1	0	-4	-4
0	0	1	0	-4
0	0	0	-4	0

7.d) $y''' - 2y = 0$

Soln:

The characteristic polynomial is

$$r^3 - 8 = 0$$

$$r^3 - 2^3 = 0$$

$$(r-2)(r^2 + 2r + 4) = 0$$

$$\rightarrow r^2 - 6r + 12r - 8 = 0$$

$$r = 0, \quad r^2 - 4r + 4 = 0$$

$$(r-2)(r-2) = 0$$

$$r = 2, \quad r = 2, 2$$

∴ The soln is $\phi(x) = (c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2) e^{2x}$

1	-6	12	-8
0	0	-8	8
1	-4	4	0

$$r-2=0, \quad r^2+2r+4=0$$

$$r=2, \quad r=-1 \pm i\sqrt{3}$$

\therefore The soln ϕ has the form.

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^{2x} + e^{-x} (c_2 \cos\sqrt{3}x + c_3 \sin\sqrt{3}x)$$

$$= c_1 e^{2x} + c_2 e^{-x} \cos\sqrt{3}x + c_3 e^{-x} \sin\sqrt{3}x$$

(j)
7.e) $y^4 - 16y = 0$ (~~#~~) $y^4 + 16y = 0$

Soln:

The characteristic Polynomial is

$$r^4 - 16 = 0$$

$$r^4 - 2^4 = 0$$

$$(r^2 + 2^2)(r^2 - 2^2) = 0$$

$$r^2 + 2^2 = 0, \quad r^2 - 2^2 = 0$$

$$r^2 = -4, \quad r^2 = 4$$

$$r = \pm i\sqrt{2}, \quad r = \pm 2$$

The soln ϕ of $\phi(x)$ has the form

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^{2x} + c_2 e^{-2x} + c_3 \cos\sqrt{2}x + c_4 \sin\sqrt{2}x$$

7.f) $y''' - 5y'' + 6y' = 0$

Soln:

The characteristic polynomial

$$r^3 - 5r^2 + 6r = 0$$

$$r(r^2 - 5r + 6) = 0$$

$$r = 0, \quad r^2 - 5r + 6 = 0$$

$$(r-2)(r-3) = 0$$

$$r-2=0, \quad r-3=0$$

$$r = 0, 2, 3$$

The roots are $r = 0, 2, 3$

The soln ϕ of $\phi(x)$ has the form.

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^{0x} + c_2 e^{2x} + c_3 e^{3x}$$

$$\phi(x) = c_1 + c_2 e^{2x} + c_3 e^{3x}$$

7.g) $y''' - iy'' + 4y' - 4iy = 0$

Soln:

The characteristic polynomial is

$$r^3 - ir^2 + 4r - 4i = 0$$

The roots are

$$r = i, 2i, -2i$$

The soln of $\phi(x)$ has the form

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^{ix} + c_2 e^{2ix} + c_3 e^{-2ix}$$

i	1	$-i$	4	$-4i$
	0	i	0	$4i$
$2i$	1	0	4	0
	0	$2i$	-4	0
	1	$2i$	0	0

7.h) $y^{(4)} + 5y'' + 4y = 0$

Soln:

The characteristic polynomial is

$$r^4 + 5r^2 + 4 = 0$$

$$(r^2 + 1)(r^2 + 4) = 0$$

$$r^2 + 1 = 0, \quad r^2 + 4 = 0$$

$$r = \pm i, \quad r = \pm 2i$$

\therefore The soln of $\phi(x)$ has the form,

$$\phi(x) = c_1 \cos x + c_2 \sin x + c_3 \cos 2x + c_4 \sin 2x$$

$$\phi(x) = c_1 e^{ix} + c_2 e^{-ix} + c_3 e^{2ix} + c_4 e^{-2ix}$$

7.i) a) $y''' - 3y' - 2y = 0$ b) $y''' - 3y' + 2y = 0$

Soln:

a) The C.P is $r^3 - 3r - 2 = 0$

$$r = 2, \quad r^2 + 2r + 1 = 0$$

$$(r+1)(r+1) = 0$$

$$r = -1, -1$$

\therefore The roots are

$$r = 2, -1, -1$$

The soln $\phi(x)$ has the form,

$$\phi(x) = (c_1 + c_2 x)e^{2x} + c_3 e^{-x}$$

2	1	0	-3	-2
	0	2	4	2

$$b) \phi(x) = (c_1 + c_2 x)e^{2x} + c_3 e^{-2x}$$